



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,723	02/02/2006	Tatsuya Igarashi	1982-0258PUS1	9724
2292	7590	04/08/2009		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747			HOLLWEG, THOMAS A	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/566,723	IGARASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Thomas A. Hollweg	Art Unit 2879	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Thomas A. Hollweg. (3) Chad Rink. CNK  
 (2) Nimesh Patel. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 02 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Akiyama, U.S. Pat. App. 2002/0146589; Forrest, U.S. Pat. 6,310,360.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendments to claim 1 with regard to 112 first paragraph rejection and 103(a) rejection. Discussed affidavit submitted under 37 CFR 1.132 with relation to prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

THOMAS A. HOLLWEG  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2922